



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,184	12/03/2003	Roy Schoenberg	66729/P038US/10614714	4980

29053 7590 08/24/2009
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.
2200 ROSS AVENUE
SUITE 2800
DALLAS, TX 75201-2784

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)	Application No. 10/727,184	Applicant(s) SCHOENBERG, ROY	
	Examiner KUEN S. LU	Art Unit 2156	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 19 June 2009 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.
EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

(See Continuation Sheet Below)

/Kuen S Lu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2156

Concerning Applicant's Brief filed June 19, 2009, major defects occur in citing sections of specification in supporting subject matter described in each limitation. Applicant cited a huge number of paragraphs across multiple pages of specification, without any mapping to text of limitation, for supporting subject matter described in the limitation, which is one or very a few lines long. Applicant is required to specifically map the major action or noun words in each limitation for supporting the subject matter as described. Examples for such defects are listed below:

1. With respect to claims 1, 21 and 41, limitation or similarly described subject matter of "defining, by an authorized user who has authorized access to the medical data records, a plurality of text-based range descriptors, wherein each text-based range descriptor is associated with a range of field values for one of the range-based data fields", the cited 11 paragraphs on 3 pages of specification, without any mapping on "each text-based range descriptor is associated with a range of field values for one of the range-based data fields". Specifically, there is no cited disclosure on the text-based range descriptor is associated with a range of field values.
2. With respect to claims 6 and 26, limitation or similarly described subject matter of "incorporating, as the value descriptor of the text-string associated with the specific data record, the text-based range descriptor that is associated with the field value of the range-based data field included in the specific data record", the cited 13 paragraphs on 4 pages of specification, without any specific mapping or specific citing on incorporating the text-based range descriptor included in the specific data record.
3. With respect to claims 53 and 56, limitation or similarly described subject matter of "wherein each of the defined text-based range descriptors represents a corresponding medical status of the patient reflected by field values contained in the range of field values associated with the text-based range descriptor", the cited 11 paragraphs on 3 pages of specification, without any specific mapping or specific citing on the defined text-based range descriptors which represents a corresponding medical status of the patient reflected by field values contained in the range of field values of the specific data record.